



# HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING

ALEX D. BRESLOW, DONG PING ZHANG, JOSEPH L. GREATHOUSE, NUWAN JAYASENA, AND DEAN M. TULLSEN

6/23/2016







Data stores and caches

- Key-value stores (e.g., Memcached, Redis, and MongoDB)
- Relational databases (e.g., MonetDB, HyPer, IBM DB2 with BLU)





#### Data stores and caches

- Key-value stores (e.g., Memcached, Redis, and MongoDB)
- Relational databases (e.g., MonetDB, HyPer, IBM DB2 with BLU)
- Graphics
  - Accelerate computations by computing on hash tables that store sparse images, textures, or surfaces





#### Data stores and caches

- Key-value stores (e.g., Memcached, Redis, and MongoDB)
- Relational databases (e.g., MonetDB, HyPer, IBM DB2 with BLU)
- Graphics
  - Accelerate computations by computing on hash tables that store sparse images, textures, or surfaces
- General data compression schemes used in common compression utilities





#### Data stores and caches

- Key-value stores (e.g., Memcached, Redis, and MongoDB)
- Relational databases (e.g., MonetDB, HyPer, IBM DB2 with BLU)
- Graphics
  - Accelerate computations by computing on hash tables that store sparse images, textures, or surfaces
- General data compression schemes used in common compression utilities

#### ▲ In each of these fields, having a fast hash table is important.





OPTIMIZING MEMORY ACCESSES IN FAST IN-MEMORY HASH TABLES



8 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016



PRIVATE CACHES



## FOCUS OF THIS TALK OPTIMIZING MEMORY ACCESSES IN FAST IN-MEMORY HASH TABLES



# FOCUS OF THIS TALK OPTIMIZING MEMORY ACCESSES IN FAST IN-MEMORY HASH TABLES

PRIVATE

CACHES

SHARED

LLCs

MAIN

**MEMORY** 

Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.

## FOCUS OF THIS TALK OPTIMIZING MEMORY ACCESSES IN FAST IN-MEMORY HASH TABLES



- ▲ Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.
- ▲ In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.



- ▲ Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.
- ▲ In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.



- ▲ Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.
- ▲ In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.



SDCSE

- ▲ Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.
- ▲ In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.

OPTIMIZING MEMORY ACCESSES IN FAST IN-MEMORY HASH TABLES



SDCSE

- ▲ Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.
- ▲ In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.

### FOCUS OF THIS TALK **OPTIMIZING MEMORY ACCESSES IN FAST IN-MEMORY HASH TABLES**



Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.

memory-

boundedness.

In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.

We need to aggressively optimize hash tables to be cognizant of this limitation.

Comparatively low bandwidth and high latency per memory transaction leads to memoryboundedness.



Hash tables have poor temporal and spatial locality.

▲ In-memory hash tables often have hot working sets that are bigger than LLCs.

## BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES





## BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES



а	b	С	EMPTY
d	е	f	g
h	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY
i	j	k	Ι
m	n	0	р
q	r	S	EMPTY
t	u	v	w

## BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES



а	b	C		ΕΜΡΤΥ	1
d	е	f		g	
h	EMPTY	EMPTY		ΕΜΡΤΥ	1
i	j	ŀ	<b>(</b>	Ι	
m	n	0		р	
q	r	S		EMPTY	
t	u	١	1	w	
	KE	1	V	ALUE	































free slot



30 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016





Evaluate the hash functions in numerical order and insert  $KV_1$  into the first candidate bucket with a free slot





Evaluate the hash functions in numerical order and insert  $KV_1$  into the first candidate bucket with a free slot



0	а	b	С		KV <sub>1</sub>		
1	d	е	f		g		
2	h	EMPTY	EMPTY		ΕΜΡΤΥ	1	
3	i	j	k		Ι		
4	m	n	Ο		р		
5	q	r	S		ΕΜΡΤΥ	1	
6	t	u	v		w		
		KE	1	V	VALUE		









35 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016





36 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016




































- Each bucket is typically sized to one hardware cache line or less.
- Overwhelmingly, accesses to the bucket's cache line hit in the hardware caches during accesses to consecutive cells.
  45 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016

## BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES LOOKUPS AND LOAD BALANCING HEURISTIC





- ▲ Expected Positive Lookup Cost Per Item in Buckets: 1.5 =  $(0.5 \text{ Hashed by H}_1) + 2 * (0.5 \text{ Hashed by H}_2)$
- Expected Negative Lookup Cost per Item in Buckets:
   2 (also worst-case)
   HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016

## BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES LOOKUPS AND FIRST-FIT INSERTION HEURISTIC





Expected Positive Lookup Cost Per Item in Buckets:
 1 to 1.3ish depending on the table load factor and the slots per bucket

Expected Negative Lookup Cost per Item in Buckets:
 2 (also worst-case)
 47 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016

#### BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES BENEFITS OF FIRST-FIT





0	а	b	С		KV <sub>1</sub>
1	d	m	f		g
2	h	EMPTY	EMF	ΫΤΥ	EMPTY
3	i	j	k		Ι
4	u	n	0	1	р
5	q	r	S		е
6	t	KV <sub>2</sub>	v		W
		KE	1	V	ALUE

#### BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES BENEFITS OF FIRST-FIT





LOOKUP KV<sub>1</sub>

#### BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES BENEFITS OF FIRST-FIT























Positive Lookups:

– First-fit gets us most of the way to 1.0 on positive lookups because most elements are hashed with  $\rm H_1$ 



- Positive Lookups:
  - First-fit gets us most of the way to 1.0 on positive lookups because most elements are hashed with  $\rm H_1$

#### BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES LIMITATIONS OF FIRST-FIT





0	а	b	C	1	KV <sub>1</sub>
1	d	m	f		g
2	h	EMPTY	EMF	ΫΤ	EMPTY
3	i	j	k		Ι
4	u	n	0		р
5	q	r	S		е
6	t	KV <sub>2</sub>	v	1	w
		KEY	1	V	ALUE

### BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES LIMITATIONS OF FIRST-FIT





						_
0	а	b	C		KV1	
1	d	m	f		g	
2	h	EMPTY	EMF	ΫΤ	EMPTY	,
3	i	j	k		I	
4	u	n	0		р	
5	q	r	S		е	
6	t	KV <sub>2</sub>	v	1	w	
		KEY		V	ALUE	

- Expected Negative Lookup Cost per Item in Buckets:
  - First-fit doesn't address the comparatively expensive negative lookup cost. We still need to check all candidate buckets.

### BUCKETIZED CUCKOO HASH TABLES LIMITATIONS OF FIRST-FIT





- Expected Negative Lookup Cost per Item in Buckets:
  - First-fit doesn't address the comparatively expensive negative lookup cost. We still need to check all candidate buckets.





- Positive lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
  - If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.



- Positive lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
  - If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.
- Negative lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
  - If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.



- Positive lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
   If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.
- Negative lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
   If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.
- Retain a worst-case lookup cost of 2 buckets (i.e., often 2 hardware cache lines)



- Positive lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
   If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.
- Negative lookups that typically require accessing only 1 bucket per query
   If buckets are at most a cache line in size, then only 1 cache line is accessed as well.
- Retain a worst-case lookup cost of 2 buckets (i.e., often 2 hardware cache lines)
- Achieve a load factor exceeding 0.95 (akin to a bucketized cuckoo hash table that uses 2 hash functions and 4-cell buckets)



PRIMARY INSERTIONS AND LOOKUPS

0	8	5	EMPTY	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	EMPTY
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY



PRIMARY INSERTIONS AND LOOKUPS

0	8	5	EMPTY	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	EMPTY
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY

Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables



PRIMARY INSERTIONS AND LOOKUPS

0	8	5	EMPTY	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	EMPTY
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>



PRIMARY INSERTIONS AND LOOKUPS

0	8	5	EMPTY	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	EMPTY
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line


0	8	5	EMPTY	EMPTY	H <sub>primary</sub> INSERT 13
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	
2	35	18	22	EMPTY	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37	
Δ	17	6	21	EMPTY	
┛	1/	0			

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line



0	8	5	13	EMPTY	H <sub>primary</sub> INSERT 13
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	
2	35	18	22	EMPTY	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY	

- Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line



0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	EMPTY
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line

ŀ F	HORTOI PRIMARY II	N TABLE	E <b>S</b> S AND LOO	KUPS		Computer Science and Engineering	AMD
0	8	5	13	EMPTY			
1	33	EMPTY	15	2			
2	35	18	22	EMPTY	- H <sub>primary</sub>	- INSERT :	16
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37			
4	17	6	21	EMPTY			
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY			

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line

ł	HORTOI PRIMARY II	N TABLE	E <b>S</b> S AND LOO	KUPS	Computer Science and Engineering
0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	
2	35	18	22	16	H <sub>primary</sub> INSERT 16
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY	

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line



0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	16
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY

- ▲ Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line



0	8	5	13	EMPTY	H <sub>primary</sub> LOOKUP 13
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	
2	35	18	22	16	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY	

- Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line





- Horton tables start off as standard bucketized cuckoo hash tables
- ▲ Like first-fit, they strongly bias inserts by using a *primary hash function* called H<sub>primary</sub>
- Most positive lookups therefore only require accessing a single cache line

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	16
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	ΕΜΡΤΥ





0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	
2	35	18	22	16	H <sub>primary</sub> INSERT 23
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY	









16

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	ΕΜΡΤΥ

**INSERT 23** 

**16** 

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	EMPTY	EMPTY











INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY







INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY







INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY







INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY





**EMPTY** 8 5 13 0 **EMPTY** 33 15 2 1 20 0 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY** 37 3 **EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 16 EMPTY **EMPTY** 24 9 **R**<sub>2</sub> **INSERT 23** 5 Use R<sub>2</sub> for inserting 23 because it maps 23 to least full secondary bucket candidate.





INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY





INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY







INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY







INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY





INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY







INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY



16 now also needs to be remapped to a secondary bucket.



INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY



secondary bucket.



INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY



For buckets that overflow, we remap surplus elements using one of many secondary hash functions and register its numerical identifier (e.g., R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, and R<sub>3</sub>) as an element in a remap entry array (REA), a sparse, in-bucket array that tracks remapped elements.

secondary bucket.



secondary bucket.



secondary bucket.



Use  $R_3$  for inserting 16 because it maps 16 to least full secondary bucket candidate.



Use  $R_3$  for inserting 16 because it maps 16 to least full secondary bucket candidate.


Use  $R_3$  for inserting 16 because it maps 16 to least full secondary bucket candidate.



Compute index into remap entry array using  $H_{tag}$  with key as input



INSERTS THAT TRIGGER CREATION OF REMAP ENTRY ARRAY



Compute index into remap entry array using  $H_{tag}$  with key as input



Compute index into remap entry array using  $H_{tag}$  with key as input



Store 3 at index  $H_{tag}(16)=1$  to indicate that  $R_3$  was used to remap 16



Store 3 at index  $H_{tag}(16)=1$  to indicate that  $R_3$  was used to remap 16



Store 3 at index  $H_{tag}(16)=1$  to indicate that  $R_3$  was used to remap 16



#### **RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS**

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY

Compute primary hash function and examine primary bucket (bucket 2)

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.





Compute primary hash function and examine primary bucket (bucket 2)

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.





Determine 16 is not stored in its primary bucket and proceed to examine REA

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 

0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ	

Determine 16 is not stored in its primary bucket and proceed to examine REA

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



#### **RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS**

0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	

Compute index into remap entry array using  $H_{tag}$  with key as input

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



### 

**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 



Compute index into remap entry array using H<sub>tag</sub> with key as input

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



### 

**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 

0	8	5	13	EMPTY		
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(16) = 1$	20
2	35	18	22	REA		
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37		
4	17	6	21	EMPTY		
5	9	24	23	EMPTY		

Compute index into remap entry array using  $H_{tag}$  with key as input

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



### 

**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 



The remap entry shows  $R_3$  was used to remap 16, so compute  $R_3(16)$ .

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 



The remap entry shows  $R_3$  was used to remap 16, so compute  $R_3(16)$ .

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.



**RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS** 



Retrieve 16 from bucket 3

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.

126 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016



#### RETRIEVING REMAPPED ITEMS



Retrieve 16 from bucket 3

- Remapped items can always be retrieved by accessing 2 buckets, even when many secondary hash functions are used
- E.g., when retrieving 16, we only access buckets 2 (primary bucket) and 3 (secondary bucket). We skip buckets 4 and 5 even though they were previously candidates.

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ



0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY



Most negative lookups only require accessing a single bucket

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY



### LOOKUP 25

Most negative lookups only require accessing a single bucket







require accessing a single bucket







require accessing a single bucket















require accessing a single bucket





UCSDCSE

Computer Science and Eng





require accessing a single bucket

Lookups where the primary bucket is a conventional BCHT bucket without remap entries only ever require examining 1 bucket and thus 1 cache line for positive and negative queries alike

0

3

4

5

8	5	13	EMPTY
33	EMPTY	15	2
35	18	22	REA
EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
17	6	21	EMPTY
9	24	23	EMPTY



Lookups where the primary bucket's final slot is converted into an REA often only require accessing 1 bucket and at most 2 for positive and negative queries alike



0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY



#### 

### LOOKUP 28

Most negative lookups only require accessing a single bucket



### HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS









### HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS





### HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS





### HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS





-				_	
0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	Most negative lookups only require accessing a single bucket


0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	Determine 28 is not stored in its primary bucket (2) and proceed to examine REA



0	8	5	13	ΕΜΡΤΥ	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ	
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ	Compute index into remap entry array using H <sub>tag</sub> with key as input









## HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS WITH TAG ALIAS

0	8	5	13	ΕΜΡΤΥ
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ

Negative lookups only require accessing 2 buckets on a *tag alias* 

Lookups where the primary bucket's final slot is converted into an REA often only require accessing 1 bucket and at most 2 for positive and negative queries alike

UCSDCSE Computer Science and Engineering



## HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS WITH TAG ALIAS

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ





LOOKUP 7

Negative lookups only require accessing 2 buckets on a *tag alias* 













#### **HORTON TABLES** NEGATIVE LOOKUPS WITH TAG ALIAS EMPTY **EMPTY REA EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY** EMPTY Negative lookup with a tag alias, e.g. 7 reads remap entry set by 23

# HORTON TABLES

0

1

2

3

4

5



Lookups where the primary bucket's final slot is converted into an REA often only require accessing 1 bucket and at most 2 for positive and negative queries alike

reads remap entry set by 23

#### HORTON TABLES NEGATIVE LOOKUPS WITH TAG ALIAS



# HORTON TABLES



# require accessing 1 bucket and at most 2 for positive and negative queries alike

## HORTON TABLES

NEGATIVE LOOKUPS WITH TAG ALIAS

0	8	5	13	EMPTY	LOOKUP 7
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(7) = 17$ 20
2	35	18	22	REA	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ	Examine 18 <sup>th</sup> slot of remap entry array and see that R <sub>2</sub> was likely used to remap 7.
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	Negative lookup with a <i>tag alias,</i> e.g. 7 reads remap entry set by 23

Lookups where the primary bucket's final slot is converted into an REA often only



## **HORTON TABLES**

NEGATIVE LOOKUPS WITH TAG ALIAS

_					
0	8	5	13	ЕМРТҮ	R <sub>2</sub> LOOKUP 7
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(7) = 17$ 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ	Examine 18 <sup>th</sup> slot of remap entry array and see that R <sub>2</sub> was likely used to remap 7.
5	9	24	23	ЕМРТҮ	Negative lookup with a <i>tag alias,</i> e.g. 7 reads remap entry set by 23



0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(7) = 17$
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	Determine that no slots of secondary bucket (0) match 7, so stop looking.
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	Negative lookup with a <i>tag alias,</i> e.g. 7 reads remap entry set by 23





## ADDITIONAL CONTENT IN THE PAPER



- A Sharing of remap entries among multiple remapped elements while still permitting their deletion
- Further optimizations for improving lookup throughput
- Analytical models for lookups, insertions and deletions
- More in-depth discussion of prior work and how Horton tables improves over first-fit for positive lookups

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY



Conducted a series of analytical studies to determine 8-slots per bucket was a good design point (more details in paper)

- Fills a 64-byte cache line with 8-byte entries
- High load factors (>95% table can be filled with key-value pairs)
- Positive lookups that typically access less than 1.18 buckets per query
- Negative lookups that typically access less than 1.06 buckets per query
- Further analytical studies demonstrated that 21 entries per REA and 7 secondary functions is often more than sufficient for 8-slot buckets (more details in paper)
- ▲ Experimental studies conducted on an AMD Radeon<sup>TM</sup> R9 290X GPU

## RESULTS POSITIVE LOOKUPS





























<sup>177 |</sup> HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016





<sup>179 |</sup> HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016


















Achieves lookup throughput that meets or exceeds prior approaches



- Achieves lookup throughput that meets or exceeds prior approaches
- The throughput improvement is achieved by reducing the number of cache lines that need to be accessed per lookup query to at most 1.18 for positive lookups and 1.06 for negative lookups.



- Achieves lookup throughput that meets or exceeds prior approaches
- The throughput improvement is achieved by reducing the number of cache lines that need to be accessed per lookup query to at most 1.18 for positive lookups and 1.06 for negative lookups.
- Reducing cache accesses yields corresponding throughput improvements of 5% to 35% and 73% to 89%, for pos. and neg. lookups, respectively, on a very full table.



- Achieves lookup throughput that meets or exceeds prior approaches
- The throughput improvement is achieved by reducing the number of cache lines that need to be accessed per lookup query to at most 1.18 for positive lookups and 1.06 for negative lookups.
- Reducing cache accesses yields corresponding throughput improvements of 5% to 35% and 73% to 89%, for pos. and neg. lookups, respectively, on a very full table.
- Optimizing hash table algorithms is important because of their wide use throughout all segments of computing (e.g., scientific computing and databases, data compression, computer graphics and data visualization).

## FUTURE WORK



- Evaluation of insertions and deletions and their optimization
  - Write- and update-heavy workloads should also benefit from Horton tables approach.
- Application of Horton tables to data warehousing and analysis applications
  - Database operators' implementations (e.g., hash joins and grouping hash tables)
  - Key-value stores
- Additional indices for speeding up lookups, insertions, and deletions
- Evaluation of Horton tables on new and emerging memory subsystems as well as tailoring the technique for persistent storage technologies such as SSDs





# Thanks for your attention.

## **DISCLAIMER & ATTRIBUTION**



The information presented in this document is for informational purposes only and may contain technical inaccuracies, omissions and typographical errors.

The information contained herein is subject to change and may be rendered inaccurate for many reasons, including but not limited to product and roadmap changes, component and motherboard version changes, new model and/or product releases, product differences between differing manufacturers, software changes, BIOS flashes, firmware upgrades, or the like. AMD assumes no obligation to update or otherwise correct or revise this information. However, AMD reserves the right to revise this information and to make changes from time to time to the content hereof without obligation of AMD to notify any person of such revisions or changes.

AMD MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTENTS HEREOF AND ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY INACCURACIES, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS THAT MAY APPEAR IN THIS INFORMATION.

AMD SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE. IN NO EVENT WILL AMD BE LIABLE TO ANY PERSON FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR OTHER CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING FROM THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, EVEN IF AMD IS EXPRESSLY ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

#### **ATTRIBUTION**

© 2016 Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. All rights reserved. AMD, the AMD Arrow logo, AMD Radeon, and combinations thereof are trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. in the United States and/or other jurisdictions. Other names are for informational purposes only and may be trademarks of their respective owners.

# BACKUP SLIDES

# HORTON TABLES

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY



- We permit a single remap entry to reference multiple remapped elements.
- Deleting remap entries is possible by having elements that share remap entries map to the same secondary bucket (see our paper for details).

_				
0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY





**INSERT 27** 

- We permit a single remap entry to reference multiple remapped elements.
- Deleting remap entries is possible by having elements that share remap entries map to the same secondary bucket (see our paper for details).

# HORTON TABLES





- We permit a single remap entry to reference multiple remapped elements.
- Deleting remap entries is possible by having elements that share remap entries map to the same secondary bucket (see our paper for details).

#### HORTON TABLES SHARING OF REMAP ENTRIES **EMPTY** 8 5 13 0 **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 35 18 22 2 **H**<sub>primary</sub> REA **INSERT 27** EMPTY **EMPTY** 16 37 3 **EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 **EMPTY** 9 We conclude that bucket 2 has no free 24 23 5 slots, so we need to remap it.

- We permit a single remap entry to reference multiple remapped elements.
- Deleting remap entries is possible by having elements that share remap entries map to the same secondary bucket (see our paper for details).



0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	

# HORTON TABLES



#### 

SHARING OF REMAP ENTRIES

0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	Compute the H <sub>tag</sub> on the key



UCSDCSE Computer Science and Engine



UCSDCSE Computer Science and Engine



UCSDCSE

Computer Science

ł	HORTO	N TABLE	E <b>S</b> ENTRIES		Computer Science and Engineering
0	8	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(27) = 1$ 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2 2
3	EMPTY	EMPTY	16	37	INSERT 27
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ	We see that the remap entry is set, so we try to use R <sub>3</sub> to insert 27.

#### SHARING OF REMAP ENTRIES **EMPTY** $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY REA EMPTY EMPTY** R<sub>3</sub> **INSERT 27 EMPTY** We see that the remap entry is set, **EMPTY** so we try to use $R_3$ to insert 27.

UCSDCSE

**HORTON TABLES** 

#### **HORTON TABLES** SHARING OF REMAP ENTRIES **EMPTY** $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY REA EMPTY** R<sub>3</sub> **INSERT 27 EMPTY** The insertion succeeds because the **EMPTY** secondary bucket (3) has a free slot.

UCSDCSE

#### HORTON TABLES SHARING OF REMAP ENTRIES **EMPTY** $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY REA EMPTY** R<sub>3</sub> **INSERT 27 EMPTY** The insertion succeeds because the **EMPTY** secondary bucket (3) has a free slot.

▲ If bucket 3 had been full, we could have swapped 27 with another item from 27's primary bucket (e.g., 35 in bucket 2) and remapped that item instead.

#### HORTON TABLES SHARING OF REMAP ENTRIES **EMPTY** $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY REA EMPTY** R<sub>3</sub> **INSERT 27 EMPTY** The insertion succeeds because the **EMPTY** secondary bucket (3) has a free slot.

▲ If bucket 3 had been full, we could have swapped 27 with another item from 27's primary bucket (e.g., 35 in bucket 2) and remapped that item instead.

0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	27	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY



- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

-				
0	8	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	35 18		REA
3	EMPTY	27	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY





#### **DELETE 8**

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS



0	8	5	13	EMPTY	[	<b>H</b> <sub>primary</sub>	]	<b>DELETE 8</b>
1	33	EMPTY	15	2				
2	35	18	22	REA				
3	EMPTY	27	16	37				
4	17	6	21	EMPTY				
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ				

A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion



Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket

A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

[	DELETING I	ELEMENTS			_			
0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY	←_	<b>H</b> <sub>primary</sub>	]	DELETE 8
1	33	EMPTY	15	2				
2	35	18	22	REA				
3	EMPTY	27	16	37				
4	17	6	21	EMPTY				
5	9	24	23	EMPTY				





0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	27	16	37
4	17	6	21	EMPTY
5	9	24	23	EMPTY



- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY
1	33	EMPTY	15	2
2	35	18	22	REA
3	EMPTY	27	16	37
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ





#### **DELETE 27**

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion







- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion





- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion



0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2
3	EMPTY	27	16	37	
4	17	6	21	ΕΜΡΤΥ	
5	9	24	23	ΕΜΡΤΥ	

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion
#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS



-					
0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	0 20
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2 2
3	EMPTY	27	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	27 is not found in its primary bucket; we need to access the remap entry array.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY REA EMPTY EMPTY** 27 is not found in its primary bucket; we **EMPTY** need to access the remap entry array.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS



0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(27) = 1$
2	35	18	22	REA	3 2
3	EMPTY	27	16	37	
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	27 is not found in its primary bucket; w need to access the remap entry array.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

ר נ		ELEMENTS	5		Computer Science and Engineering
0	EMPTY	5	13	EMPTY	
1	33	EMPTY	15	2	$H_{tag}(27) = 1$ 20
2	35	18	22	REA	
3	EMPTY	27	16	37	DELETE 27
4	17	6	21	EMPTY	
5	9	24	23	EMPTY	27 is not found in its primary bucket; we need to access the remap entry array.

UCSDCSE

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 3 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY** 27 16 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 27 is not found in its primary bucket; we **EMPTY** 9 24 23 5 need to access the remap entry array.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 3 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY** 27 16 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 Search $R_3(27) = 3$ and delete it upon EMPTY 9 24 23 5 discovery.

SDCSE

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 3 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY EMPTY** 16 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 Search $R_3(27) = 3$ and delete it upon EMPTY 9 24 23 5 discovery.

SDCSE

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 3 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY EMPTY** 16 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 Compute H<sub>primary</sub> on 16 and 37 and find **EMPTY** 9 24 23 5 that the remap entry is still active.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 3 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY EMPTY** 16 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 A subsequent deletion of 16 would cause **EMPTY** 9 24 23 5 the remap entry to be deleted.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 3 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY** 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 A subsequent deletion of 16 would cause **EMPTY** 9 24 23 5 the remap entry to be deleted.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion

#### HORTON TABLES DELETING ELEMENTS **EMPTY EMPTY** 5 13 0 $H_{tag}(27) = 1$ **EMPTY** 15 33 2 1 20 0 35 18 22 2 **REA EMPTY EMPTY EMPTY** 37 3 R<sub>3</sub> **DELETE 27 EMPTY** 17 6 21 4 A subsequent deletion of 16 would cause **EMPTY** 9 24 23 5 the remap entry to be deleted.

- Deleting elements that are found in their primary bucket only requires accessing a single bucket
- A remapped element can be deleted by performing a secondary lookup followed by a deletion







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items







- Swap item with another from its primary bucket that can be remapped to a secondary bucket that is not full
- If this fails, then use cuckoo hashing
  - Preferably enforcing as we do that secondary items cannot displace primary items

# END OF BACKUP **SLIDES**

237 | HORTON TABLES: FAST HASH TABLES FOR IN-MEMORY DATA-INTENSIVE COMPUTING | JUNE 23, 2016